

BAMBERGER ROAD AT OGDEN'S GATES

Work of Bridging the Weber
River Is Now in Pro-
gress.

THROUGH TRAINS THIS YEAR

LINE TO BE EXTENDED TO THE
MOUTH OF OGDEN CANYON.

"There's One More River to Cross" is the song Simon Bamberger is singing these days. The Salt Lake & Ogden railway, "the Bamberger line," has reached the Weber river just south of Ogden. There a steam shovel and large force of men are at work in a cut sixty feet deep and a half mile long, and there a double-track concrete bridge is to be erected. The real work of bridge construction began during the past week in the driving of piling in the river bed. Boats are used for this purpose. The piling is to serve as a foundation for the concrete. There are to be two seventy-five-foot spans in the bridge. Concrete was substituted for steel when it was found that it would be practically impossible to get structural steel this year. In addition to this the freight rates on bridge steel from the east are practically prohibitive.

Mr. Bamberger is pushing work on the last mile and a half of road this side of Ogden with all the vigor he can muster. He has the steel and ties on hand and is determined to complete this portion of the work this year. He expects to be running excursion trains between Salt Lake City and Ogden before snow flies. July 24, Pioneer day, trains were run from Riverdale and South Weber, three miles this side of Ogden, to the Lacon. Excursion trains will be run from these points on all special days, but no regular train service will be established till later. Regular trains have been running to Layton for some time.

The Ogden terminus of the road is on the corner of Grant avenue and Twenty-second street, where extensive deep grounds have been secured. This is but one block from the government building. From this point the road will extend on to the mouth of Ogden canyon, a distance of 3.5 miles, but this section of the road will not be undertaken until after the completion of the main line between Salt Lake City and Ogden.

An essential part of the general plan is the electrification of the entire system, and this will be done immediately after the completion of the line into Ogden. The estimated cost of electrification is \$500,000. It is Mr. Bamberger's present ambition to have this done by the opening of the next resort season.

CITY AVOIDS LAWSUIT.

Compromises Demand for \$2,500 by
Paying \$1,750.

The action brought by Hannah L. Anderson against Salt Lake City for damages for a change in grade in front of her property on North Main street was yesterday dismissed in the district court, as a settlement with the city has been made. The plaintiff is receiving \$1,750. Fearing that the court would allow Mrs. Anderson the full amount of damages asked for, and remembering with something akin to horror the decision of the supreme court in the Pelt, Hembstead and Kimball cases, which reduced the contingent fund \$12,000, the council last Monday night authorized the compromise. The money has already been paid over.

The city is now facing, as a result of the supreme court's decision, a multitude of similar suits, and the legal department is kept busy trying to persuade claimants that they have no case. The decision announces that when a grade already established is changed for any reason the city is liable for damages.

TEMPTATION TOO STRONG.

Pretty Ring Causes Mrs. Hooper to
Commit Theft.

Mrs. L. E. Hooper admitted to Judge Ditch yesterday morning that she stole a ring at the Wilson hotel Friday. She was fined \$5.

Friday it was reported at police headquarters that C. H. Saxton had missed a \$500 ring. Detective Wheeling arrested Mrs. Hooper and she admitted the crime because it was pretty. I have nothing to say except that I throw myself upon the mercy of the court and bid the woman. Her husband paid the fine.

A private safe may be rented in the first and burglar-proof vaults of the Salt Lake Security & Trust Co., 32-34 Main street, \$2.50 per year.

STORK TAKES A VACATION.

Only 37 Births Last Week as Against
Record of 49.

The stork was lazy last week and only brought thirty-seven babies into Salt Lake. His record of forty-nine for the previous week evidently had tired him. There were twelve deaths reported to the health department, which reduced the low record. Five of these were females and seven males. Eleven cases of contagious diseases were reported, consisting of three cases of scarlet fever, one of whooping cough and seven of typhoid fever.

AN AVAILABLE SECURITY

The secured certificate issued by this company may be placed as collateral for a loan almost equal to its face value, as it is negotiable and absolutely safe. The certificates are issued in denominations of one hundred dollars or upwards, bearing interest at the rate of 6 per cent, payable twelve times a year and secured by first mortgages on improved real estate worth twice the value of the mortgage.

SALT LAKE
Security & Trust Co.
Security & Trust Bldg.,
32-34 Main St.
Capital and Surplus \$300,000.00.

COUNTRY CLUB CADDIES WIELD STICKS



The caddies of the Country club had their July tournament yesterday and pulled it off in great style. It was a handicap affair, medal play, and about as hard a game under the conditions of the course as any professional ever went up against. Nearly all the players got into the rough, the "fall timber," as the caddies call it, and their scores suffered in consequence. Louis Youngdale, the winner, from scratch, went through in 49—not a bad total against a 29 bogie on a course that is probably four or five strokes slow just now because of the rains and the slow greens. Clarence Pratt got a 51 from scratch and Rudolph Freeman, the third man, with one handicap, was six strokes away, in 57. The winner's card for the nine holes in 57 was: 8, 6, 4, 5, 6, 4, 7, 3, 6; and the total is the more remarkable because the boy who made it is a light weight and the best score made by any amateur member of the club for weeks has been 42 and 43. On medal play, Pratt, who played around with Youngdale, was one down with this card: 6, 8, 3, 5, 8, 4, 8, 3, 6.

Nearly all the players except these two got into trouble on the second and last holes, where the sporty hazards made plenty of grief for much older golfers.



THE CONTESTANTS.
Upper Row—Lewis Youngdale, the winner, drawing off; Cass Pratt, second prize at the first; Rudolph Freeman, third, keeping his eye on the ball; Allen Perry.

BELIEVES WILSON INSANE.

Letter Received From Provincial Police Officer at Victoria.

Sheriff C. Frank Emery has received a letter from F. S. Hussey, superintendent of provincial police at Victoria, British Columbia, in which the latter says that he believes William J. Gaskell, alias William J. Wilson, is mentally deranged. Wilson is the man who came here from Colorado and threatened to kill James H. McChrystal and John C. Packard. Wilson has been sent to the state mental hospital.

Wilson wrote a letter to Hussey, saying that he was a British subject and that he wanted protection from his office. He told a story of his arrests in Colorado and of the fortune that he supposed he possessed. He said in the letter that he intended to spend a good part of his fortune in suits.

FINISHED.

(Houston Post.)
"The man next door to us is a finished musician."
"No; he's dead."

MAMMA TURNS ON HOSE, QUENCHING ARDOR OF SALT LAKE FIRE FIGHTER

After having been the victor in many a hard fought battle with the flames in Salt Lake while he was chief of the fire department, Major William A. Stanton, now a well-known mining man, himself has fallen a victim to the consuming flames kindled by the cupid. Content Mamma Nye entered into the same unannounced. For some unexplained reason she suddenly objected to the proposed match and took charge of the apparatus and doused the young and bewitching Blanche from the scene. The mother and daughter hurried to Ocean Park. The major followed, but, according to interviews, does not intend to give up the battle.

"Yes, I secured a license," he is quoted as saying, "but a slight difference arose between Mrs. Nye and myself. Of course, until that is settled there will be no wedding."

In the meantime the valiant major is practically "waiting at the church." He still has the license, which is as good as new because it has not been used.

Major Stanton is now general manager of the Little Florence Leasing company of Goldfield and is otherwise interested in mining properties. He lived in Salt Lake for several years and is well known here.

major rushed to the marriage license bureau for the necessary papers. According to the Los Angeles Examiner, he obtained them and the "3-5-5" signal announcing "return to quarters" would have been sounded on Thursday had not Mamma Nye entered into the same unannounced. For some unexplained reason she suddenly objected to the proposed match and took charge of the apparatus and doused the young and bewitching Blanche from the scene. The mother and daughter hurried to Ocean Park. The major followed, but, according to interviews, does not intend to give up the battle.

"Yes, I secured a license," he is quoted as saying, "but a slight difference arose between Mrs. Nye and myself. Of course, until that is settled there will be no wedding."

In the meantime the valiant major is practically "waiting at the church." He still has the license, which is as good as new because it has not been used.

Major Stanton is now general manager of the Little Florence Leasing company of Goldfield and is otherwise interested in mining properties. He lived in Salt Lake for several years and is well known here.

MURRAY JUSTICE IMPOSES TWO FINES FOR CONTEMPT OF KANGAROO COURT

C. F. Durand, justice of the peace at Murray, performed a new stunt yesterday by fining C. Brimhall \$10 and Walter Best \$7 for contempt of his kangaroo court.

Both had been ordered to appear on supplemental proceedings in relation to a Luke claim and failed to show up.

The order for their arrest was issued yesterday and the two were haled before the dispenser of the now famous Murray brand of justice and made to see that the dignity of Durand must not be tampered with.

Both declared they would not pay their fines and were ordered committed to the county jail. Brimhall was released when he paid his contribution, but Best declared he would "rot" in jail before he would pay, and is now languishing in the county bastille on the advice of his attorney.

It may be stated that if all the contempt for Durand's court could be measured in fines the revenue would be sufficient to run the county.

Durand's practices were given another rebuke yesterday in the district court when Judge Ritchie decided that the pseudo justice had no jurisdiction in the action on alleged debt brought against P. W. Gallacher by John Wood and the Luke collection agency. The suit was begun three years ago before Durand's predecessor and the summons issued there was not served until recently. Gallacher immediately filed an affidavit alleging lack of jurisdiction of the Murray court, but as is his custom in these cases Durand paid no attention to it. Gallacher then appealed to the district court and his contention was sustained.

Durand's practices were given another rebuke yesterday in the district court when Judge Ritchie decided that the pseudo justice had no jurisdiction in the action on alleged debt brought against P. W. Gallacher by John Wood and the Luke collection agency. The suit was begun three years ago before Durand's predecessor and the summons issued there was not served until recently. Gallacher immediately filed an affidavit alleging lack of jurisdiction of the Murray court, but as is his custom in these cases Durand paid no attention to it. Gallacher then appealed to the district court and his contention was sustained.

Durand's practices were given another rebuke yesterday in the district court when Judge Ritchie decided that the pseudo justice had no jurisdiction in the action on alleged debt brought against P. W. Gallacher by John Wood and the Luke collection agency. The suit was begun three years ago before Durand's predecessor and the summons issued there was not served until recently. Gallacher immediately filed an affidavit alleging lack of jurisdiction of the Murray court, but as is his custom in these cases Durand paid no attention to it. Gallacher then appealed to the district court and his contention was sustained.

Durand's practices were given another rebuke yesterday in the district court when Judge Ritchie decided that the pseudo justice had no jurisdiction in the action on alleged debt brought against P. W. Gallacher by John Wood and the Luke collection agency. The suit was begun three years ago before Durand's predecessor and the summons issued there was not served until recently. Gallacher immediately filed an affidavit alleging lack of jurisdiction of the Murray court, but as is his custom in these cases Durand paid no attention to it. Gallacher then appealed to the district court and his contention was sustained.

Durand's practices were given another rebuke yesterday in the district court when Judge Ritchie decided that the pseudo justice had no jurisdiction in the action on alleged debt brought against P. W. Gallacher by John Wood and the Luke collection agency. The suit was begun three years ago before Durand's predecessor and the summons issued there was not served until recently. Gallacher immediately filed an affidavit alleging lack of jurisdiction of the Murray court, but as is his custom in these cases Durand paid no attention to it. Gallacher then appealed to the district court and his contention was sustained.

Durand's practices were given another rebuke yesterday in the district court when Judge Ritchie decided that the pseudo justice had no jurisdiction in the action on alleged debt brought against P. W. Gallacher by John Wood and the Luke collection agency. The suit was begun three years ago before Durand's predecessor and the summons issued there was not served until recently. Gallacher immediately filed an affidavit alleging lack of jurisdiction of the Murray court, but as is his custom in these cases Durand paid no attention to it. Gallacher then appealed to the district court and his contention was sustained.

Durand's practices were given another rebuke yesterday in the district court when Judge Ritchie decided that the pseudo justice had no jurisdiction in the action on alleged debt brought against P. W. Gallacher by John Wood and the Luke collection agency. The suit was begun three years ago before Durand's predecessor and the summons issued there was not served until recently. Gallacher immediately filed an affidavit alleging lack of jurisdiction of the Murray court, but as is his custom in these cases Durand paid no attention to it. Gallacher then appealed to the district court and his contention was sustained.

Durand's practices were given another rebuke yesterday in the district court when Judge Ritchie decided that the pseudo justice had no jurisdiction in the action on alleged debt brought against P. W. Gallacher by John Wood and the Luke collection agency. The suit was begun three years ago before Durand's predecessor and the summons issued there was not served until recently. Gallacher immediately filed an affidavit alleging lack of jurisdiction of the Murray court, but as is his custom in these cases Durand paid no attention to it. Gallacher then appealed to the district court and his contention was sustained.

Durand's practices were given another rebuke yesterday in the district court when Judge Ritchie decided that the pseudo justice had no jurisdiction in the action on alleged debt brought against P. W. Gallacher by John Wood and the Luke collection agency. The suit was begun three years ago before Durand's predecessor and the summons issued there was not served until recently. Gallacher immediately filed an affidavit alleging lack of jurisdiction of the Murray court, but as is his custom in these cases Durand paid no attention to it. Gallacher then appealed to the district court and his contention was sustained.

Durand's practices were given another rebuke yesterday in the district court when Judge Ritchie decided that the pseudo justice had no jurisdiction in the action on alleged debt brought against P. W. Gallacher by John Wood and the Luke collection agency. The suit was begun three years ago before Durand's predecessor and the summons issued there was not served until recently. Gallacher immediately filed an affidavit alleging lack of jurisdiction of the Murray court, but as is his custom in these cases Durand paid no attention to it. Gallacher then appealed to the district court and his contention was sustained.

Durand's practices were given another rebuke yesterday in the district court when Judge Ritchie decided that the pseudo justice had no jurisdiction in the action on alleged debt brought against P. W. Gallacher by John Wood and the Luke collection agency. The suit was begun three years ago before Durand's predecessor and the summons issued there was not served until recently. Gallacher immediately filed an affidavit alleging lack of jurisdiction of the Murray court, but as is his custom in these cases Durand paid no attention to it. Gallacher then appealed to the district court and his contention was sustained.

Durand's practices were given another rebuke yesterday in the district court when Judge Ritchie decided that the pseudo justice had no jurisdiction in the action on alleged debt brought against P. W. Gallacher by John Wood and the Luke collection agency. The suit was begun three years ago before Durand's predecessor and the summons issued there was not served until recently. Gallacher immediately filed an affidavit alleging lack of jurisdiction of the Murray court, but as is his custom in these cases Durand paid no attention to it. Gallacher then appealed to the district court and his contention was sustained.

Durand's practices were given another rebuke yesterday in the district court when Judge Ritchie decided that the pseudo justice had no jurisdiction in the action on alleged debt brought against P. W. Gallacher by John Wood and the Luke collection agency. The suit was begun three years ago before Durand's predecessor and the summons issued there was not served until recently. Gallacher immediately filed an affidavit alleging lack of jurisdiction of the Murray court, but as is his custom in these cases Durand paid no attention to it. Gallacher then appealed to the district court and his contention was sustained.

Durand's practices were given another rebuke yesterday in the district court when Judge Ritchie decided that the pseudo justice had no jurisdiction in the action on alleged debt brought against P. W. Gallacher by John Wood and the Luke collection agency. The suit was begun three years ago before Durand's predecessor and the summons issued there was not served until recently. Gallacher immediately filed an affidavit alleging lack of jurisdiction of the Murray court, but as is his custom in these cases Durand paid no attention to it. Gallacher then appealed to the district court and his contention was sustained.

Durand's practices were given another rebuke yesterday in the district court when Judge Ritchie decided that the pseudo justice had no jurisdiction in the action on alleged debt brought against P. W. Gallacher by John Wood and the Luke collection agency. The suit was begun three years ago before Durand's predecessor and the summons issued there was not served until recently. Gallacher immediately filed an affidavit alleging lack of jurisdiction of the Murray court, but as is his custom in these cases Durand paid no attention to it. Gallacher then appealed to the district court and his contention was sustained.

Durand's practices were given another rebuke yesterday in the district court when Judge Ritchie decided that the pseudo justice had no jurisdiction in the action on alleged debt brought against P. W. Gallacher by John Wood and the Luke collection agency. The suit was begun three years ago before Durand's predecessor and the summons issued there was not served until recently. Gallacher immediately filed an affidavit alleging lack of jurisdiction of the Murray court, but as is his custom in these cases Durand paid no attention to it. Gallacher then appealed to the district court and his contention was sustained.

Durand's practices were given another rebuke yesterday in the district court when Judge Ritchie decided that the pseudo justice had no jurisdiction in the action on alleged debt brought against P. W. Gallacher by John Wood and the Luke collection agency. The suit was begun three years ago before Durand's predecessor and the summons issued there was not served until recently. Gallacher immediately filed an affidavit alleging lack of jurisdiction of the Murray court, but as is his custom in these cases Durand paid no attention to it. Gallacher then appealed to the district court and his contention was sustained.

Durand's practices were given another rebuke yesterday in the district court when Judge Ritchie decided that the pseudo justice had no jurisdiction in the action on alleged debt brought against P. W. Gallacher by John Wood and the Luke collection agency. The suit was begun three years ago before Durand's predecessor and the summons issued there was not served until recently. Gallacher immediately filed an affidavit alleging lack of jurisdiction of the Murray court, but as is his custom in these cases Durand paid no attention to it. Gallacher then appealed to the district court and his contention was sustained.

Durand's practices were given another rebuke yesterday in the district court when Judge Ritchie decided that the pseudo justice had no jurisdiction in the action on alleged debt brought against P. W. Gallacher by John Wood and the Luke collection agency. The suit was begun three years ago before Durand's predecessor and the summons issued there was not served until recently. Gallacher immediately filed an affidavit alleging lack of jurisdiction of the Murray court, but as is his custom in these cases Durand paid no attention to it. Gallacher then appealed to the district court and his contention was sustained.

Durand's practices were given another rebuke yesterday in the district court when Judge Ritchie decided that the pseudo justice had no jurisdiction in the action on alleged debt brought against P. W. Gallacher by John Wood and the Luke collection agency. The suit was begun three years ago before Durand's predecessor and the summons issued there was not served until recently. Gallacher immediately filed an affidavit alleging lack of jurisdiction of the Murray court, but as is his custom in these cases Durand paid no attention to it. Gallacher then appealed to the district court and his contention was sustained.

Durand's practices were given another rebuke yesterday in the district court when Judge Ritchie decided that the pseudo justice had no jurisdiction in the action on alleged debt brought against P. W. Gallacher by John Wood and the Luke collection agency. The suit was begun three years ago before Durand's predecessor and the summons issued there was not served until recently. Gallacher immediately filed an affidavit alleging lack of jurisdiction of the Murray court, but as is his custom in these cases Durand paid no attention to it. Gallacher then appealed to the district court and his contention was sustained.

Durand's practices were given another rebuke yesterday in the district court when Judge Ritchie decided that the pseudo justice had no jurisdiction in the action on alleged debt brought against P. W. Gallacher by John Wood and the Luke collection agency. The suit was begun three years ago before Durand's predecessor and the summons issued there was not served until recently. Gallacher immediately filed an affidavit alleging lack of jurisdiction of the Murray court, but as is his custom in these cases Durand paid no attention to it. Gallacher then appealed to the district court and his contention was sustained.

Durand's practices were given another rebuke yesterday in the district court when Judge Ritchie decided that the pseudo justice had no jurisdiction in the action on alleged debt brought against P. W. Gallacher by John Wood and the Luke collection agency. The suit was begun three years ago before Durand's predecessor and the summons issued there was not served until recently. Gallacher immediately filed an affidavit alleging lack of jurisdiction of the Murray court, but as is his custom in these cases Durand paid no attention to it. Gallacher then appealed to the district court and his contention was sustained.

Durand's practices were given another rebuke yesterday in the district court when Judge Ritchie decided that the pseudo justice had no jurisdiction in the action on alleged debt brought against P. W. Gallacher by John Wood and the Luke collection agency. The suit was begun three years ago before Durand's predecessor and the summons issued there was not served until recently. Gallacher immediately filed an affidavit alleging lack of jurisdiction of the Murray court, but as is his custom in these cases Durand paid no attention to it. Gallacher then appealed to the district court and his contention was sustained.

Durand's practices were given another rebuke yesterday in the district court when Judge Ritchie decided that the pseudo justice had no jurisdiction in the action on alleged debt brought against P. W. Gallacher by John Wood and the Luke collection agency. The suit was begun three years ago before Durand's predecessor and the summons issued there was not served until recently. Gallacher immediately filed an affidavit alleging lack of jurisdiction of the Murray court, but as is his custom in these cases Durand paid no attention to it. Gallacher then appealed to the district court and his contention was sustained.

Durand's practices were given another rebuke yesterday in the district court when Judge Ritchie decided that the pseudo justice had no jurisdiction in the action on alleged debt brought against P. W. Gallacher by John Wood and the Luke collection agency. The suit was begun three years ago before Durand's predecessor and the summons issued there was not served until recently. Gallacher immediately filed an affidavit alleging lack of jurisdiction of the Murray court, but as is his custom in these cases Durand paid no attention to it. Gallacher then appealed to the district court and his contention was sustained.

Durand's practices were given another rebuke yesterday in the district court when Judge Ritchie decided that the pseudo justice had no jurisdiction in the action on alleged debt brought against P. W. Gallacher by John Wood and the Luke collection agency. The suit was begun three years ago before Durand's predecessor and the summons issued there was not served until recently. Gallacher immediately filed an affidavit alleging lack of jurisdiction of the Murray court, but as is his custom in these cases Durand paid no attention to it. Gallacher then appealed to the district court and his contention was sustained.

Durand's practices were given another rebuke yesterday in the district court when Judge Ritchie decided that the pseudo justice had no jurisdiction in the action on alleged debt brought against P. W. Gallacher by John Wood and the Luke collection agency. The suit was begun three years ago before Durand's predecessor and the summons issued there was not served until recently. Gallacher immediately filed an affidavit alleging lack of jurisdiction of the Murray court, but as is his custom in these cases Durand paid no attention to it. Gallacher then appealed to the district court and his contention was sustained.

Durand's practices were given another rebuke yesterday in the district court when Judge Ritchie decided that the pseudo justice had no jurisdiction in the action on alleged debt brought against P. W. Gallacher by John Wood and the Luke collection agency. The suit was begun three years ago before Durand's predecessor and the summons issued there was not served until recently. Gallacher immediately filed an affidavit alleging lack of jurisdiction of the Murray court, but as is his custom in these cases Durand paid no attention to it. Gallacher then appealed to the district court and his contention was sustained.

Durand's practices were given another rebuke yesterday in the district court when Judge Ritchie decided that the pseudo justice had no jurisdiction in the action on alleged debt brought against P. W. Gallacher by John Wood and the Luke collection agency. The suit was begun three years ago before Durand's predecessor and the summons issued there was not served until recently. Gallacher immediately filed an affidavit alleging lack of jurisdiction of the Murray court, but as is his custom in these cases Durand paid no attention to it. Gallacher then appealed to the district court and his contention was sustained.

Durand's practices were given another rebuke yesterday in the district court when Judge Ritchie decided that the pseudo justice had no jurisdiction in the action on alleged debt brought against P. W. Gallacher by John Wood and the Luke collection agency. The suit was begun three years ago before Durand's predecessor and the summons issued there was not served until recently. Gallacher immediately filed an affidavit alleging lack of jurisdiction of the Murray court, but as is his custom in these cases Durand paid no attention to it. Gallacher then appealed to the district court and his contention was sustained.

Durand's practices were given another rebuke yesterday in the district court when Judge Ritchie decided that the pseudo justice had no jurisdiction in the action on alleged debt brought against P. W. Gallacher by John Wood and the Luke collection agency. The suit was begun three years ago before Durand's predecessor and the summons issued there was not served until recently. Gallacher immediately filed an affidavit alleging lack of jurisdiction of the Murray court, but as is his custom in these cases Durand paid no attention to it. Gallacher then appealed to the district court and his contention was sustained.

Durand's practices were given another rebuke yesterday in the district court when Judge Ritchie decided that the pseudo justice had no jurisdiction in the action on alleged debt brought against P. W. Gallacher by John Wood and the Luke collection agency. The suit was begun three years ago before Durand's predecessor and the summons issued there was not served until recently. Gallacher immediately filed an affidavit alleging lack of jurisdiction of the Murray court, but as is his custom in these cases Durand paid no attention to it. Gallacher then appealed to the district court and his contention was sustained.

Durand's practices were given another rebuke yesterday in the district court when Judge Ritchie decided that the pseudo justice had no jurisdiction in the action on alleged debt brought against P. W. Gallacher by John Wood and the Luke collection agency. The suit was begun three years ago before Durand's predecessor and the summons issued there was not served until recently. Gallacher immediately filed an affidavit alleging lack of jurisdiction of the Murray court, but as is his custom in these cases Durand paid no attention to it. Gallacher then appealed to the district court and his contention was sustained.

Durand's practices were given another rebuke yesterday in the district court when Judge Ritchie decided that the pseudo justice had no jurisdiction in the action on alleged debt brought against P. W. Gallacher by John Wood and the Luke collection agency. The suit was begun three years ago before Durand's predecessor and the summons issued there was not served until recently. Gallacher immediately filed an affidavit alleging lack of jurisdiction of the Murray court, but as is his custom in these cases Durand paid no attention to it. Gallacher then appealed to the district court and his contention was sustained.

Durand's practices were given another rebuke yesterday in the district court when Judge Ritchie decided that the pseudo justice had no jurisdiction in the action on alleged debt brought against P. W. Gallacher by John Wood and the Luke collection agency. The suit was begun three years ago before Durand's predecessor and the summons issued there was not served until recently. Gallacher immediately filed an affidavit alleging lack of jurisdiction of the Murray court, but as is his custom in these cases Durand paid no attention to it. Gallacher then appealed to the district court and his contention was sustained.

Durand's practices were given another rebuke yesterday in the district court when Judge Ritchie decided that the pseudo justice had no jurisdiction in the action on alleged debt brought against P. W. Gallacher by John Wood and the Luke collection agency. The suit was begun three years ago before Durand's predecessor and the summons issued there was not served until recently. Gallacher immediately filed an affidavit alleging lack of jurisdiction of the Murray court, but as is his custom in these cases Durand paid no attention to it. Gallacher then appealed to the district court and his contention was sustained.

Durand's practices were given another rebuke yesterday in the district court when Judge Ritchie decided that the pseudo justice had no jurisdiction in the action on alleged debt brought against P. W. Gallacher by John Wood and the Luke collection agency. The suit was begun three years ago before Durand's predecessor and the summons issued there was not served until recently. Gallacher immediately filed an affidavit alleging lack of jurisdiction of the Murray court, but as is his custom in these cases Durand paid no attention to it. Gallacher then appealed to the district court and his contention was sustained.

Durand's practices were given another rebuke yesterday in the district court when Judge Ritchie decided that the pseudo justice had no jurisdiction in the action on alleged debt brought against P. W. Gallacher by John Wood and the Luke collection agency. The suit was begun three years ago before Durand's predecessor and the summons issued there was not served until recently. Gallacher immediately filed an affidavit alleging lack of jurisdiction of the Murray court, but as is his custom in these cases Durand paid no attention to it. Gallacher then appealed to the district court and his contention was sustained.

Durand's practices were given another rebuke yesterday in the district court when Judge Ritchie decided that the pseudo justice had no jurisdiction in the action on alleged debt brought against P. W. Gallacher by John Wood and the Luke collection agency. The suit was begun three years ago before Durand's predecessor and the summons issued there was not served until recently. Gallacher immediately filed an affidavit alleging lack of jurisdiction of the Murray court, but as is his custom in these cases Durand paid no attention to it. Gallacher then appealed to the district court and his contention was sustained.

Durand's practices were given another rebuke yesterday in the district court when Judge Ritchie decided that the pseudo justice had no jurisdiction in the action on alleged debt brought against P. W. Gallacher by John Wood and the Luke collection agency. The suit was begun three years ago before Durand's predecessor and the summons issued there was not served until recently. Gallacher immediately filed an affidavit alleging lack of jurisdiction of the Murray court, but as is his custom in these cases Durand paid no attention to it. Gallacher then appealed to the district court and his contention was sustained.

Durand's practices were given another rebuke yesterday in the district court when Judge Ritchie decided that the pseudo justice had no jurisdiction in the action on alleged debt brought against P. W. Gallacher by John Wood and the Luke collection agency. The suit was begun three years ago before Durand's predecessor and the summons issued there was not served until recently. Gallacher immediately filed an affidavit alleging lack of jurisdiction of the Murray court, but as is his custom in these cases Durand paid no attention to it. Gallacher then appealed to the district court and his contention was sustained.

Durand's practices were given another rebuke yesterday in the district court when Judge Ritchie decided that the pseudo justice had no jurisdiction in the action on alleged debt brought against P. W. Gallacher by John Wood and the Luke collection agency. The suit was begun three years ago before Durand's predecessor and the summons issued there was not served until recently. Gallacher immediately filed an affidavit alleging lack of jurisdiction of the Murray court, but as is his custom in these cases Durand paid no attention to it. Gallacher then appealed to the district court and his contention was sustained.

Durand's practices were given another rebuke yesterday in the district court when Judge Ritchie decided that the pseudo justice had no jurisdiction in the action on alleged debt brought against P. W. Gallacher by John Wood and the Luke collection agency. The suit was begun three years ago before Durand's predecessor and the summons issued there was not served until recently. Gallacher immediately filed an affidavit alleging lack of jurisdiction of the Murray court, but as is his custom in these cases Durand paid no attention to it. Gallacher then appealed to the district court and his contention was sustained.

Durand's practices were given another rebuke yesterday in the district court when Judge Ritchie decided that the pseudo justice had no jurisdiction in the action on alleged debt brought against P. W. Gallacher by John Wood and the Luke collection agency. The suit was begun three years ago before Durand's predecessor and the summons issued there was not served until recently. Gallacher immediately filed an affidavit alleging lack of jurisdiction of the Murray court, but as is his custom in these cases Durand paid no attention to it. Gallacher then appealed to the district court and his contention was sustained.

Durand's practices were given another rebuke yesterday in the district court when Judge Ritchie decided that the pseudo justice had no jurisdiction in the action on alleged debt brought against P. W. Gallacher by John Wood and the Luke collection agency. The suit was begun three years ago before Durand's predecessor and the summons issued there was not served until recently. Gallacher immediately filed an affidavit alleging lack of jurisdiction of the Murray court, but as is his custom in these cases Durand paid no attention to it. Gallacher then appealed to the district court and his contention was sustained.

Durand's practices were given another rebuke yesterday in the district court when Judge Ritchie decided that the pseudo justice had no jurisdiction in the action on alleged debt brought against P. W. Gallacher by John Wood and the Luke collection agency. The suit was begun three years ago before Durand's predecessor and the summons issued there was not served until recently. Gallacher immediately filed an affidavit alleging lack of jurisdiction of the Murray court, but as is his custom in these cases Durand paid no attention to it. Gallacher then appealed to the district court and his contention was sustained.

Durand's practices were given another rebuke yesterday in the district court when Judge Ritchie decided that the pseudo justice had no jurisdiction in the action on alleged debt brought against P. W. Gallacher by John Wood and the Luke collection agency. The suit was begun three years ago before Durand's predecessor and the summons issued there was not served until recently. Gallacher immediately filed an affidavit alleging lack of jurisdiction of the Murray court, but as is his custom in these cases Durand paid no attention to it. Gallacher then appealed to the district court and his contention was sustained.

Durand's practices were given another rebuke yesterday in the district court when Judge Ritchie decided that the pseudo justice had no jurisdiction in the action on alleged debt brought against P. W. Gallacher by John Wood and the Luke collection agency. The suit was begun three years ago before Durand's predecessor and the summons issued there was not served until recently. Gallacher immediately filed an affidavit alleging lack of jurisdiction of the Murray court, but as is his custom in these cases Durand paid no attention to it. Gallacher then appealed to the district court and his contention was sustained.

Durand's practices were given another rebuke yesterday in the district court when Judge Ritchie decided that the pseudo justice had no jurisdiction in the action on alleged debt brought against P. W. Gallacher by John Wood and the Luke collection agency. The suit was begun three years ago before Durand's predecessor and the summons issued there was not served until recently. Gallacher immediately filed an affidavit alleging lack of jurisdiction of the Murray court, but as is his custom in these cases Durand paid no attention to it. Gallacher then appealed to the district court and his contention was sustained.

Durand's practices were given another rebuke yesterday in the district court when Judge Ritchie decided that the pseudo justice had no jurisdiction in the action on alleged debt brought against P. W. Gallacher by John Wood and the Luke collection agency. The suit was begun three years ago before Durand's predecessor and the summons issued there was not served until recently. Gallacher immediately filed an affidavit alleging lack of jurisdiction of the Murray court, but as is his custom in these cases Durand paid no attention to it. Gallacher then appealed to the district court and his contention was sustained.

Durand's practices were given another rebuke yesterday in the district court when Judge Ritchie decided that the pseudo justice had no jurisdiction in the action on alleged debt brought against P. W. Gallacher by John Wood and the Luke collection agency. The suit was begun three years ago before Durand's predecessor and the summons issued there was not served until recently. Gallacher immediately filed an affidavit alleging lack of jurisdiction of the Murray court, but as is his custom in these cases Durand paid no attention to it. Gallacher then appealed to the district court and his